

NURHAYATI RAUF

Submission date: 30-Jan-2024 09:39AM (UTC+0530)

Submission ID: 2281808211

File name: IJTPE_Peper_Rev_2.pdf (500.78K)

Word count: 3578

Character count: 19514

REDESIGN BLOCK TOYS USING EMOTION WHEEL APPROACH

N Rauf A Padhil D Iantara DW Thamsyul

*Industrial Engineering Department, Universitas Muslim Indonesia, Makassar, Indonesia,.,
nurhayati.rauf@umi.ac.id, ahmad.padhil@umi.ac.id, dirgahayu.lantar@umi.ac.id, wulandaridwi046@gmail.com*

Abstract- The product redesign uses the Emotion Wheel Approach, method to determine the level of emotion of the respondents in relation to toy block products before and after the redesign. The results of the Geneva emotion wheel assessment conducted before product redesign on positive emotions are lower than negative emotions, namely 2.22 on positive emotions and 3.77 on negative emotions. It was concluded that respondents gave suggestions related to block toys for improvement. After the improvements were made, the assessment results were 3.83 on positive emotions and 1.55 on negative emotions. These results show the value of user experience that increases between the initial design and redesign. In addition, the results of the improvement design design based on the suggestions given by respondents with the appearance of toys that tend to be bright but not dazzling, namely pastel and natural colours measuring 18 x 18 x 16 cm.

Keywords: Redesign, Block Toys, User Experience, GEW, Ergonomic

1. INTRODUCTION

Children experience physical, intellectual, social and emotional growth and development from an early age. At this stage, stimulation is needed for children's growth and development to develop maturely. However, in this era of technological advances, children's motor development tends not to be optimally stimulated. Currently, children are exposed to a lot of technology in the form of using gadgets, most of which only provide cognitive stimulation, so that they do not hone their motor development[1]. The development of children's

motor skills includes gross and fine motor skills. Fine motor development is a movement that uses fine muscles to coordinate with the brain when performing activities.. This fine motor movement only involves certain parts and is carried out by fine muscle muscles, such as skills involving coordination of eye and finger movements [2]. A form of play that can be used to improve the ability of children to move is to use blocks of toys. Montessori block toys are a type of wooden chunk game that is popular among children. The chunks can be arranged into any model according to the child's creativity. With this game, nerve coordination, fine muscles will be trained, so that finger movements are more skilful in various activities [3].

The use of educational game tools is widely found in Early Childhood Education (ECE) schools. From the observations made at Makassar Montessori School, it is known that the lack of enthusiasm when children play Montessori blocks is because this game has not provided a good user experience for users. ISO 9241-210 defines user experience as the perception and reaction of people through the use of products, systems or services that include all emotions, beliefs, choices, physical responses, psychology and user behaviour before, during and after use [4].

Products that can cause a good user experience must be the ones that will be liked by users so that the user experience assessment is considered very important because of the emotional connection with a product [5]. Emotions play a role in the decision making that a person makes towards a product [6]. In addition, the results of market research also show that very few consumers make decisions purely

based on the function of a product [7]. The involvement of consumer emotions and feelings in the selection of a product should be taken into consideration for designers when designing a product. Consumers will choose a product if the impression that consumers want to feel is on the product [8].

The majority of users make decisions using their thoughts and emotions, so assessing emotional reactions is critical to understanding how to improve interactions when designing a product to create a positive user experience [9]. There are several methods that can be used to measure user experience, one of which is the Geneva Emotion Wheel (GEW). Therefore, looking at the existing problems, it is very important to conduct research and redesign Montessori block toy products using the Geneva Emotion Wheel (GEW) method so as to create a positive experience for consumers.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

The methodology used to redesign Montessori block toys in this study is the Geneva Method of the Emotional Wheel used to determine the respondents' emotional response to a product [10]. Emotion in this case is defined as multi-component which includes subjective, reactionary judgement in preparing for action and expression, tendency of one's action, and regulation. [11]. Products can evoke a wide range of emotions [12]. The expression of these emotions and feelings will create a feel, impression, like, comfort when consumers choose a product [13].

The Geneva Emotion Wheel divides emotions into 20 emotions (ten positive emotions and ten negative emotions). The emotions are systematically arranged in circles and form a two-axis wheel based on valence (positive and negative) and control (high and low control). [14, 15]. Low or positive control, high or negative control, low or positive control, and high or positive control are the four squares that result from the two axes segmenting emotions. [5]. Each square contains 5 emotions depending on the type of the square, as shown in the figure below. The Methode Geneva Emotion Wheel (GEW) approach uses a 5-point scale (neutral

scales are also counted). The circular scale gives the respondent an overview of all the emotions felt as well as their intensity [16]




Figure 1. Geneva Emotion Wheel (GEW)

With the emotion graph, respondents can fill in the emotions felt in levels of intensity from low (centre of the circle) to high (to the edge of the circle). In addition, in the centre there is also a choice of neutral emotions, and other emotions. There are three ways of filling in the GEW [14] :

1. Respondents choose only one emotion. If there is no emotion felt, the respondent can choose none, while if there is another emotion that is completely different from the 20 existing emotions, the respondent can fill in the other column.
2. Respondents selected some mixed emotions. The emotions felt are sometimes a mixture of several emotions so respondents are asked to provide intensity for some of the emotions felt. Similar to the first method, if there are no emotions felt, the respondent can select "none" while if there are other emotions that are very different from the 20 emotions, the respondent can fill in the "other" column.
3. Respondents are required to rate the 20 existing emotions in this alternative respondents are required to provide an assessment of the intensity of the 20 existing emotions even though the intensity of the emotion felt is very small.

In this research, the third approach is used with the aim of getting as much emotional description as possible from product users and at the same time opening up opportunities if there are some emotions that cannot be felt by users [17]. Data

collection was conducted directly using purposive sampling method. It began with in-depth observations related to respondents' emotional responses to Montessori block toy products. This method uses criteria determined by the researcher to select samples on the basis of certain considerations. [18]. The process was carried out by distributing questionnaires to the teaching staff of the Makassar Montessori School who met the criteria, namely 15 respondents out of 16 teaching staff at the Makassar Montessori School. The questionnaire was distributed twice, namely:

- a. Distribution of the GEW questionnaire before the design of the montessori block toy design
- b. Distribution of GEW questionnaires after the design of montessori block toy designs

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following is the initial design of a block toy with design specifications that will be the parameters of this research



Figure 2. Block toy before redesigning

The questionnaire test was carried out on 15 respondents Who had completed the survey. Given the quantity of responses, it is established that the table r for this a valid test is 0.514

obtained from the r-table with $N = 15$ and $\alpha = 0.05$ (two-way test). As a result, each and every item that displays successfully and has a computed r value greater than 0.514 is considered valid [19]. Twenty emotions that have been valid then go through the next stage, namely the reliability test by calculating The value of Cronbach's alpha. The questionnaire is said to be reliable or reliable When the twenty emotions have a A Cronbach's alpha value above 0.6, they are deemed dependable of 0.926 (more than 0.6). This data processing was carried out using spss 26.0 software. The next stage respondents were asked to assess the product before improvement and the average results of 20 emotions including ten Ten negative and ten positive emotions are visible in the table below:

Table 1. GEW value before redesign

Emotion (+)	Average	Emotion (-)	Average
Fun	3,07	Sadness	3,87
Entertaining	2,93	Guilty	3,93
Proudly	1,80	Regret	3,93
Carefree	2,00	Shame	4,00
Like	3,07	Disappointed	2,20
Satisfaction	1,73	Fear	4,00
Love	1,93	Sick	4,13
Admiration	1,87	Disgusting	3,87
Relieved	2,00	Hate	4,13
Touched	1,80	Angry	3,67

Based on the table of results of the average GEW value above the Montessori block toy product before design on positive emotional data has a lower average value than negative emotional. This indicates that respondents want new innovations from previous products. Then a redesign was carried out to increase the value of user experience on Montessori block toy products. This product design is designed based on data processing and in accordance with the suggestions that have been given by respondents. The results of respondents' suggestions for product assessment then formed 3 different concepts. The design results can be seen as follows :




Figure 3. Block Toy Blocks Model A




Figure 4. Block Toy Blocks Model B




Figure 5. Block Toy Blocks Model B

Design selection was carried out by distributing questionnaires to the same respondents in the first GEW questionnaire with a total of 15 people. This questionnaire only displays a visual design for design selection where respondents can choose the desired block toy design. The questionnaire's results are displayed in the following figure.




Figure 6. Block Toy Blocks Model C

The data shown in the graph above is that of the 15 respondents, 47% of respondents liked the design of the toy block model A, 20% of respondents liked the design of the toy block model B and 33% of

respondents liked the design of the toy block model C. So the selected design is the design of the toy block model A with the following specifications.

Table 2. Product Specifications

No.	Component	Total	Material
1.	Container Base	1	MDF Wood
2.	Container Side	4	Pine Tree Grove
3.	Beam I	1	Pine Tree Grove
4.	Beam II	2	Pine Tree Grove
5.	Beam III	3	Pine Tree Grove
6.	Beam IV	4	Pine Tree Grove
7.	Beam V	3	Pine Tree Grove
8.	Beam VI	2	Pine Tree Grove
9.	Beam VII	1	Pine Tree Grove

From the results of the questionnaire identification, the Montessori block toy model A was chosen as the most popular block toy by respondents with toy specifications with a rectangular block shape of 16 pieces with varying sizes measuring 18 x 18 x 16 cm so as to make the toy sturdy when arranged and made with a simple shape but the game mode can be developed by children according to their creativity. The use of colour is an important element in the design of this toy. This is because the presence of colour will be a special attraction for children [20]. The choice of colours that tend

to be bright but not dazzling is one of the considerations so as not to make children's eyes easily tired [21], design with partisipatory can reduction a failure [22]

In addition, the use of colours in block toys no longer uses basic colours because it adapts to the age stage of children, namely 5-6 years old where at that age children need to be introduced to secondary and tertiary colours. With the improvements that have been made, it will increase the positive experience of the product. After designing and selecting the product image design. Furthermore, the second GEW

questionnaire was assessed and filled in to find out the average value of GEW after the redesign. The distribution of this questionnaire also has similarities with the first GEW questionnaire.

The average results of 20 emotions including 10 positive emotions and 10 negative The table 3 below displays emotions.:

Table 3. GEW value after redesign

Emotion (+)	Average	Emotion (-)	Average
Fun	3,87	Sadness	1,80
Entertaining	3,93	Guilty	1,60
Proudly	3,73	Regret	1,73
Carefree	3,73	Shame	1,60
Like	4,33	Disappointed	1,27
Satisfaction	3,87	Fear	1,67
Love	4,07	Sick	1,40
Admiration	3,87	Disgusting	1,47
Relieved	3,53	Hate	1,53
Touched	3,33	Angry	1,47

Based on the results of the normality test output that has been obtained, it shows that the asymp.sig value before the design is 0.171 and the asymp.sig after the design is 0.200, so the average emotion data of the GEW questionnaire before and after design is normally distributed. In accordance with the results of the normality test output that has been obtained, it shows that all data is normally distributed because it has an asymp.sig value > 0.05.

Furthermore, a significance test was conducted to determine whether there was a difference in the average GEW score before and after the product was designed. The method used in this significance test is the matched T-test. Considering the outcomes of the matched T test significance test output that has been obtained, the asymp.sig value is 0.000, so the average GEW score data before and after design on positive and negative emotions is significantly different.

Table 4. GEW Value Before & After

Emotions	Before	After	Significance Test
Positive	2,22	3,83	Significantly different
Negative	3,77	1,55	Significantly different

There is a substantial difference, according to the significance test's results. difference in negative emotions and positive emotions towards product design before and after being designed.

4. CONCLUSION

From the results of research that has been conducted before product redesign, it can be seen that the positive emotions generated are lower than negative emotions, namely 2.22 on positive

emotions and 3.77 on negative emotions. In this case it can be concluded that the respondents gave suggestions related to Montessori block toys for improvement. After making improvements using the same method, the value obtained on positive emotions is higher than the negative value, namely 3.83 on positive emotions and 1.55 on negative emotions. These results show the value of user experience that increases between the initial design and redesign. In addition, the results of the improvement design design based on the suggestions given by

respondents with the appearance of toys that tend to be bright but not dazzling, namely pastel and natural colours measuring 18 x 18 x 16 cm. With a total of 16 blocks and varying sizes. The product has advantages, namely the shape of the toy blocks is sturdy when arranged, multifunctional toys, and can be played individually or in groups.

DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

Regarding the creation of this article, every author attests that the topics presented do not present a conflict of interest between their non-financial and financial interests. In this area, authors are required to declare any competing financial or non-financial interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We delivered a bag of opportunities in a thank-you address to the Muslim University of Indonesia's Faculty of Industrial Technology. We had access to the practice of observation for this study thanks to the School of Disabled Persons.

REFERENCES

- [1] **Ika Apriati W.P., Marselina Huring,** (2020) "The Effectiveness of Using Building Blocks "Lego" to Improve Fine Motor Skills of Early Childhood", *Jurnal Pendas Mahakam, Widya Gama Mahakam University Samarinda*, Vol.5 N0.1 77-86, 2020.
- [2] **Paramita, M. V. A., and Sutapa, P.** (2019). Development of Circuit Game-Based Learning Model To Improve Fine Motor Children aged 4-5 years. *Journal of Golden Age*, 3(01), 1-15.
- [3] **Mohammad Fauziddin,** "Application of Learning Through Playing Unit Blocks to Increase Early Childhood Creativity", *Journal of Curricula Kopertis Wil X*, Vol. 1 No.3, (December 31, 2016) p.4.
- [4] **ISO 9241-920.** (2009). *Ergonomics of human-system interaction*.
- [5] **Amalia Dewi Irawati, Lina Dianati Fathimahhayati, Yudi Sukmono,** (2019). "Redesigning Tumbler Bottle Products by Considering User Experience Using the Geneva Emotion Wheel (GEW) Method (Case Study: Starbucks Samarinda), *Matrik*, Vol. 19, no.2 p. 23, 2019, doi:10.30587/matrik.v19i2.715.
- [6] **Lenny Herawati.** (2023). Development of Jamu Product Packaging Design with the Geneva Emotion Wheel Method to Increase Sales. *Scientific Journal of SINTEKS*. Vol 12 No 1 (2023)
- [7] **Roberts, J.A. and Pirog, S.F.** (2004). Personal Goals and Their Role in Consumer Behavior: The Case of Compulsive Buying. of *Marketing Theory and Practice*, Summer, 61- 73.
- [8] **Wahyuning, Sri Caecilia, Arie Desrianty, Rika Rahmawati.** (2011). Design Study Brassiere Concept through Emotion and Feeling Value Approach Using Kansei Engineering Method. *Kansei Engineering Method, Journal of Itenas Rekarupa, National Institute of Technology*. No.1 Vol.1 2011
- [9] **M. R. Fahreza, Lina Dianati Fathimahhayati, Anggriani Profita,** "Application of GEW Method in the Redesign of Banana Chips Packaging Design (Case Study: UKM Ngemilan Qu)," *Jime (Journal of Industrial and Manufacture Engineering)*, Vol.4, no. November, pp. 82-91, 2020.
- [10] **D. Gumulya,** "Emotional Design Theory Review", Vol. 12 (2), no. 2, pp. 14-15. 2015.
- [11] **N. Simonazzi et al.,** "The Geneva Emotion Wheel Mobile Interface: an Instrument to Report Emotions on Android Devices," 2021.
- [12] **Desmet, P. M. A., C. J. Overbeeke, S.J. E. T.** (2001). "Designing Products with Added Emotional Value: Development and Application of an Approach for Research through Design." *The Design Journal*.
- [13] **Norman, D., and Nielsen, J.** (2004). The Definition of User Experience (UX).
- [14] **Sacharin, V., Schlegel, K., and Scherer, K. R.** (2012). Geneva Emotion Wheel

Rating study. University of Geneva, Swiss Center for Affective Sciences.

- [15] **Aditia, Z. S., and Jakaria, R. B.** (2022). Penerapan Metode Geneva Emotion Wheel (GEW) dalam Merancang Kemasan Mineral Water 600 ml Merk Umsida. *JATI UNIK : Jurnal Ilmiah Teknik Dan Manajemen Industri*, 6(1), 1–13 <https://doi.org/10.30737/jatiunik.v6i1.2487>
- [16] **Damayanti, K. A., Martaleo, M., Gunawan, C. E.** (2015). Product Redesign by Considering User Experience Using the Geneva Emotion Wheel Method, Proceeding of the National Seminar of the Indonesian Ergonomics Association, Parahyangan Catholic University, Bandung.
- [17] **Ceicalia Tesavrita, Dedy Suryadi, Nathania A Hapsari.** (2011). Design of Roll-on Deodorant based on Product Emotion Measurement Instrument (PrEmo). Bandung. Parahyangan University.
- [18] **Sugiyono.** (2017). Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Research Methods. Bandung: Alfabeta
- [19] **Saryono.** (2010). Qualitative Research Methodology Qualitative Research Methodology in the Field of Health. Yogyakarta: Nuha Medika
- [20] **Mulyana, E. H., Nurzaman, I., and Fauziyah, N. A.** (2017). Efforts to Improve Early Childhood Ability to Recognise Colours. *AGAPEDIA PAUD JOURNAL*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.17509/jpa.v1i1.7170>
- [21] **Birren, F.** (1961). *Colour Psychology and Colour Therapy*. New York : UniversityBooks Inc.
- [22]. **Padhil, A., & Purnomo, H.** (2018). Macroergonomic approaches as a solution to local wisdom-based tourist village development planning. In *MATEC Web of Conferences* (Vol. 154, p. 01080). EDP Sciences.

BIOGRAPHIES



Name: Nurhayati

Surname: Rauf

Birthday: 21.08.1968

Birthplace: Malakaji, Indonesia

Bachelor: Industrial Engineering and Management, Industrial Engineering Department, Faculty of Industrial

Technology, Muslim of Indonesia University, Makassar, Indonesia, 1993

Master: Industrial Management, Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Industrial Technology, Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology, Surabaya, Indonesia, 2002.

Doctorate: Operational Management, Management Science, Economics, Muslim of Indonesia University, Makassar, Indonesia, 2018

The Last Scientific Position: Assoc. Prof., Department of Engineering Faculty of Industrial Technology, Muslim of Indonesia University, Makassar, Indonesia, Since 2008

Research Interests: Quality Control, Product Development, Ergonomics

Scientific Publications: 10 Papers, 3 Books, 3 Research Projects

Scientific Memberships: Indonesian Lecturer Association, Indonesian Engineers Association



Name: Ahmad

Surname: Padhil

Birthday: 04.01.1989

Birthplace: Bone, Indonesia

Bachelor: Industrial Engineering, Industrial Engineering Department, Faculty of Industrial Technology, Muslim

of Indonesia University, Makassar, Indonesia, 2011.

Master: Industrial Management, Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Industrial Technology, Universitas Islam Indonesia, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 2018

The Last Scientific Position: Prof. Assist. Department of Engineering Faculty of Industrial Technology, Muslim of Indonesia University, Makassar, Indonesia, Since 2018

Research Interests: Makroergonomic, Design product, safety and Health

Scientific Publications: 57 Papers, 5 Books, 2 Patents, 6 Projects.

Scientific Memberships: Indonesian Lecturer Association, Indonesian Engineers Association.



Name: Dirgahayu

Surname: Lantara

Birthday: 03.03.1959

Birthplace: Ujung Pandang, Indonesia

Bachelor: Machine Engineering, Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Muslim of Indonesia

University, Makassar, Indonesia, 1988

Master: Industrial Management, Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Industrial Technology, Bandung Institute of Technology, Bandung, Indonesia, 1994

Doctorate: Industrial Engineer, Industrial Engineering Department, Faculty of Industrial Technology, Brawijaya University, Malang, Indonesia, 2014.

The Last Scientific Position: Profesor , . Department of Engineering Faculty of Industrial Technology, Muslim of Indonesia University, Makassar, Indonesia, Since 1989

Research Interests: Ergonomic, Design product, safety and Health

Scientific Publications: 60 Papers, 14Books, 2 Patents, 2 Projects,

Scientific Memberships: Indonesian Lecturer Association, Indonesian Engineers Association



Name: **Dwi Wulandari**

Surname: **Thamsyul**

Birthday: 07.10.2000

Birthplace: Palopo, Indonesia

Bachelor: Industrial Engineering,
Industrial Engineering Department,
Faculty of Industrial Technology,

Muslim of Indonesia University, Makassar, Indonesia, 2023.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

3%

SIMILARITY INDEX

2%

INTERNET SOURCES

1%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

doaj.org

Internet Source

1%

2

repository.umi.ac.id

Internet Source

1%

3

Submitted to University of Georgia

Student Paper

<1%

4

fc24.ifca.ai

Internet Source

<1%

5

extranet.who.int

Internet Source

<1%

6

Sylvie Girard, Hilary Johnson. "Developing affective educational software products: Sorémo, a new method for capturing emotional states", Journal of Engineering Design, 2009

Publication

<1%

Exclude bibliography On