












Suriyanti Mangkona suriyanti.mangkona@umi.ac.id
to International ▼


Dear Prof. Ilhan Ozturk, The Editor of **IJEPP**

Below I Attach our revised manuscript title: **Planning Strategy of Operation Business and Maintenance by AHP and SWOT** Int such us, Grammatical error, language problem and reference style.

Personally, I also submit the revision via IJEEP Online Journal System in Review upload mode.

Thank you very much for your attention

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail





Suryanti Mangkona <suriyanti.mangkona@umi.ac.id>

your submission

2 messages

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy <ijee@econjournals.com>

Sun, Feb 23, 2020 at 8:37 PM

To: suriyanti.mangkona@umi.ac.id

Please revise your attached paper according to following suggestions and send me back

- abstract should be revised according to our journal format
 - in many places the language is not in academic writin, I just show few places with yellow colour. Please check whole paper
 - many references have missing information. like journal name, volume number and pages numbers. please complete them. There is not need for the published papers that have volume numbers and page numbers.
- make all corrections into the attached paper.

--
Prof.Dr. Ilhan OZTURK
Editor, International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy
email: ijee@econjournals.com
<http://www.econjournals.com/index.php/ijee>
<http://ideas.repec.org/e/poz20.html>



9267 putra.docx
646K

Suryanti Mangkona <suriyanti.mangkona@umi.ac.id>

Tue, Feb 25, 2020 at 8:22 PM

To: International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy <ijee@econjournals.com>

Dear Prof. Ilhan Ozturk, The Editor of IJEEP

Below I Attach our revised manuscript title: **Planning Strategy of Operation Business and Maintenance by AHP and SWOT Integration for Energy Sustainability**. Some revision have us corrected such us, Grammatical error, language problem and reference style.

Personally, I also submit the revision via IJEEP Online Journal System in Review upload mode.

Thank you very much for your attention



REV_9267 putra.docx
631K



Suryanti Mangkona <suriyanti.mangkona@umi.ac.id>

your submission

2 messages

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy <ijeep@econjournals.com>

Sun, Apr 03, 2020 at 8:37 PM

To: suriyanti.mangkona@umi.ac.id

Reviewers' comments on your manuscript have now been received. Having considered these comments alongside your paper I have decided that, unfortunately, we will not be able to publish your paper in Cogent Business & Management on this occasion. If you feel that the manuscript could be significantly revised based on the reviewers' comments, you could consider resubmitting as a new manuscript in the future.

For your guidance, I append the reviewers' comments below.

Reviewer 1:

- The background of the problem is too general and normative so it lacks a good flow of writing.
The background of the problem is the part of a research that discusses the background, context, and scope of the research. If the background of the problem is too general and normative, then it is likely that there is no good flow of writing in the section. This can make it difficult for the reader to understand the context and scope of the research better.
- Literature review is an important part of a research, which discusses the literature review related to the research question or hypothesis to be carried out. If the literature review section only contains a collection of definitions, then most likely there is no good flow of writing in the section, so it cannot provide specific and focused information on the research question or hypothesis to be carried out.
- the purpose of the research is not in the manuscript, so it is necessary to include the purpose of the research to make it easier for readers.
The research objective is an important part of a study, which presents information about what the researcher wants to achieve or investigate through the research to be carried out. If the purpose of the research is not in the manuscript, then most likely there is no good flow of writing in the section, so it cannot provide specific and focused information on the research question or hypothesis to be carried out.

Reviewer 2:

- the research gap is not so in-depth and comprehensive, so it is necessary to conduct an in-depth study and include current issues as the basis for research gaps and phenomena.
Including current issues as the basis for research gaps and research phenomena can help avoid research gaps that lack depth and comprehensiveness. By conducting an in-depth study and including current issues, researchers can ensure that the research questions or hypotheses to be carried out are questions or hypotheses that have not been answered by previous research, and are also questions or hypotheses that are important to answer from a theoretical and practical point of view. In addition, by including current issues, researchers can also ensure that the research gap to be filled is a research gap that is relevant to the current conditions and developments in the field.

--
Prof.Dr. Ilhan OZTURK
Editor, International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy
email: ijeep@econjournals.com
<http://www.econjournals.com/index.php/ijeep>
<http://ideas.repec.org/e/poz20.html>

 **REV_9267 putra.docx**
631K

Peer Review Paper Title:


Planning Strategy of Operation Business and Maintenance by AHP and SWOT Integration for Energy Sustainability

Reviewer Comment	Author Response
The background of the problem is too general and normative so it lacks a good flow of writing. The background of the problem is the part of a research that discusses the background, context, and scope of the research. If the background of the problem is too general and normative, then it is likely that there is no good flow of writing in the section. This can make it difficult for the reader to understand the context and scope of the research better.	Thank you for the invaluable input from the reviewers, based on these reviews we have made major revisions to our manuscript by providing the following comments: Objectively this research integrates AHP and SWOT in strategic planning or strategy formulation to demonstrate the qualitative and quantitative integration of techniques between SWOT and AHP in developing business strategies in operation and maintenance (O&M) of power plants. The purpose of this study is to evaluate essential factors in strategic planning and to utilize them in developing effective strategies for O&M power generation businesses. It will be imperative for policymakers to understand the importance of corporate environmental factors and to support the decision-making process. In this study, a SWOT analysis is used in conjunction with the AHP (Analytical Hierarchy Process). The formulation of strategies that can be done by the company to develop and be sustainable is to formulate its business strategy. The wording of this strategy will be carried out through an industrial strategy management approach using strategic management tools. The weighting of internal and external vital factors in this study will be processed by the AHP (Analytical Hierarchy Process) method, and these strategic factors are then used in the input stage (IFE and EFE Matrix) then the matching stage will be used (Matrix SWOT / TOWS).

	We have also included these comments in the revision of our manuscript on the first page of our introduction.
Literature review is an important part of a research, which discusses the literature review related to the research question or hypothesis to be carried out. If the literature review section only contains a collection of definitions, then most likely there is no good flow of writing in the section, so it cannot provide specific and focused information on the research question or hypothesis to be carried out.	
Reviewer 2 Comment	Author Responses
It is necessary to create a comprehensive narrative of the research methodology that lacks a collection of definitions because it contradicts the understanding of scientific papers as detailed and concise. The research methodology narrative is an important part of a study, providing information on how the researcher collected and analysed the data obtained from the field. If the research methodology narrative only consists of a collection of definitions, then it is likely that there is no good flow of writing in the section, so it cannot provide specific and focused information on how the researcher collected and analyzed the data obtained from the field. To overcome a research methodology narrative that only consists of a collection of definitions, a researcher should ensure that the research methodology narrative is specific and focused on how the researcher collected and analysed the data obtained from the field. In addition, the researcher should also ensure that the research methodology narrative is not too long and contains information that is not relevant to how the researcher collected and analysed the data obtained	Thank you for the invaluable input from the reviewers, based on these reviews we have made major revisions to our manuscript by providing the following comments: The development of a sustainable operations strategy is a subset of business strategy planning related to supply chain management (SSCM) (Seuring & Müller, 2008). Specifically "Sustainability" is generally measured using the conceptual triple-bottom-line concept (Elkington, 1998), (Murdifin et al., 2019) So it is very closely related to the duties of the company regarding profit and social and environmental responsibility. The main focus of corporate objectives has recently become very complex and requires companies to focus more on social and environmental issues that are more inclusive. Operational sustainability' is a method of evaluating whether a business can maintain existing practices without placing future potential resources at risk. Sustainability can refer to any one of a variety of areas, say ecological resources, social or economic resources.

from the field.	
the research gap is not so in-depth and comprehensive, so it is necessary to conduct an in-depth study and include current issues as the basis for research gaps and phenomena. Including current issues as the basis for research gaps and research phenomena can help avoid research gaps that lack depth and comprehensiveness. By conducting an in-depth study and including current issues, researchers can ensure that the research questions or hypotheses to be carried out are questions or hypotheses that have not been answered by previous research, and are also questions or hypotheses that are important to answer from a theoretical and practical point of view. In addition, by including current issues, researchers can also ensure that the research gap to be filled is a research gap that is relevant to the current conditions and developments in the field.	We have made major improvements to the gap research and we have also included those improvements in our manuscript
the determination of the sample and population is less specific so it is necessary to determine the basis for having the sample The determination of the sample and population is an important part of a study, which presents information about how the researcher determines the sample and population to be used in the research to be carried out. If the determination of the sample and population is not specific enough, then it is likely that there is no good flow of writing in the section, so it cannot provide specific and focused information on how the researcher determines the sample and population to be used in the research to be carried out. To overcome the lack of specificity of the sample and population, a researcher must ensure that the sample and population are specific and focused on how the researcher determines the sample and population to	The second stage is to integrate SWOT and AHP, where the scenes in this integration are: (1). Determine internal factors (Strengths - Weaknesses) and External (Opportunities and Threats) for strategic planning in the SWOT analysis, where the measurement weight of the instrument is multiplied by the rating level of the tool. To get a weighted score, the value of the instrument weight is multiplied by the rating level (2). Make a pairwise comparison of each internal and external subfactor, (3). Using the AHP method in determining the level of priority factors of each internal and external subfactor (TOWS).

be used in the research. In addition, the researcher must also ensure that the sample and population determination is not too long and contains information that is not relevant to how the researcher determines the sample and population to be used in the research to be carried out.	
SWOT analysis please complete	We have made major improvements to the gap research and we have also included those improvements in our manuscript. In result and discussion part














 **International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy** <ijee@econjournals.com>
to me ▼

"Econjournals publication fee"